

USE OF HSIC-BASED SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS FOR FUNCTIONAL DATA

*Hilbert Schmidt Independence Criterion

<u>A. Marrel^{1,2} & R. El Amri^{1,3}</u>

FROM RESEARCH TO INDUSTRY

¹CEA DES/IRESNE/DER, ²IMT Toulouse ³Now at IRT Saint Exupéry, Toulouse

10th SAMO Conference, Tallahassee, USA

IRESNE / DER / SESI / LEMS

Research Institute on Nuclear Systems for Low Carbone Energy Production

Global Sensitivity Analysis of numerical simulators



Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives Document propriété du CEA – Reproduction et diffusion externes au CEA soumises à l'autorisation de l'émetteu

Institut de recherche sur les systèmes nucléaires pour la production d'énergie bas carbone

IRESNE | DER | SESI | LEMS



HSIC* Review

*Hilbert Schmidt Independence Criterion

IRESNE | DER | SESI | LEMS

Research Institute on Nuclear Systems for Low Carbone Energy Production

HSIC review: a few notations

Black-box model

$$Y = \mathcal{M}(X_1, \dots, X_d)$$

- X_1, \dots, X_d are *d* independent inputs, evolving in domain X_1, \dots, X_d
- Y evolves in domain Y
- *P_X* denotes the probability distribution of *X*
- $P_{X,Y}$: the joint probability measure and $P_Y \otimes P_X$ the product of marginal distributions

Only a *n*-sample of simulations is available

 \mathcal{M} unknown, only Monte-Carlo sample $(X^{(j)}, Y^{(j)})_{1 \le j \le n}$ where $Y^{(j)} = \mathcal{M}(X^{(j)})$

► How to evaluate the sensitivity in a probabilistic way? ⇔ independence

 \rightarrow By comparing $P_{X,Y}$ with $P_X \otimes P_Y$

$$S_i = d(P_{X_i,Y}, P_{X_i} \otimes P_Y)$$

where *d* a dissimilarity measure between two probablity distributions

d can be based on **Maximum Mean Discrepancy**:

$$\mathsf{MMD}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) = \sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}} \big[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} f(Y) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} f(Y) \big]$$

with $\mathcal{F} =$ <u>unit ball</u> in a (characteristic) <u>RKHS</u> (Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space)

Sriperumbudur et al. [2008]

$$\Rightarrow S_i = MMD^2(P_{X,Y}, P_Y \otimes P_X) = HSIC(X, Y)$$

Hilbert-Schmidt Independence Criterion

HSIC review: definition from RKHS

▶ MMD² applied between $P_{X,Y}$ and $P_Y \otimes P_X \Rightarrow HSIC(X,Y)_{\mathcal{F}_{X,Y}}\mathcal{F}_Y$

 \mathcal{F}_X and \mathcal{F}_Y **RKHS** associated to *X* and *Y*, resp : Kernel $k_X: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ with feature space \mathcal{F}_X and feature map φ_X Kernel $k_Y: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ with feature space \mathcal{F}_Y and feature map φ_Y

kernel defines the inner product in the RKHS

$$\Rightarrow HSIC(X,Y)_{\mathcal{F}_{X},\mathcal{F}_{Y}} = MMD_{\mathcal{F}_{X},\mathcal{F}_{Y}}^{2} \left(P_{X,Y}, P_{Y} \otimes P_{X}\right) = \left\|C_{X,Y}\right\|_{HS}^{2}$$

With $C_{X,Y}$ the covariance operator between features maps:

 $C_{X,Y} = \mathbb{E}_{X,Y}[\varphi_X(X) \otimes \varphi_Y(Y)] - \mathbb{E}_X[\varphi_X(X)] \otimes \mathbb{E}_Y[\varphi_Y(Y)]$

Gretton et al. [2005]

HSIC "summarizes" the cross-cov between feature maps ⇒ Large panel of input-output dependency can be captured.

HSIC review: estimation

Kernel trick in action (reproducing property):

 $\operatorname{HSIC}(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[k_X(X,X')k_Y(Y,Y')] + \mathbb{E}[k_X(X,X')]\mathbb{E}[k_y(Y,Y')] - 2\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[k_X(X,X')|X]\mathbb{E}[k_Y(Y,Y')|Y]]$ where (X',Y') is an independent and identically distributed copy of $(X,Y) \sim P_{X,Y}$.

• <u>Characteristic</u> kernels and RKHS \Rightarrow Embedding of probability measures is <u>injective</u> \Rightarrow <u>Equivalence to independence</u>: $HSIC(X,Y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X \perp Y$ Ex: Gaussian Kernel $k_{\lambda}(x_i, x'_i) = exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - x'_i)^2}{2\lambda^2}\right)$

<u>Monte-Carlo</u> estimation: from a *n*-sample $(X_i^{(j)}, Y^{(j)})_{1 \le i \le n}$

$$\widehat{\text{HSIC}}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{n^2} Tr(K_X H L_Y H)$$

where $H = I_n - \frac{1}{n}$, $K_X = \left(k_X \left(X^{(j)}, X^{(j')}\right)\right)_{1 \le j, j' \le n}$ and $L_Y = \left(k \left(Y^{(j)}, Y^{(j')}\right)\right)_{1 \le j, j' \le n}$

Statistical properties of HSIC: Asymptotically unbiased, variance of order O(1/n), asymptotically convergence of n HSIC if $X \perp Y$ Gretton et al. [2008]

HSIC review: sentivity indices and independence test

Normalization for sensitivity analysis:

Da Veiga [2015]

 $R_{HSIC}^{2} = \frac{HSIC(X,Y)}{\sqrt{HSIC(X,X)HSIC(Y,Y)}}$

 $\Rightarrow R_{HSIC}^2 \in [0,1]$ for easier interpretation

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Influence}(X_{[1]}) > \text{Influence}(X_{[2]}) > \cdots > \text{Influence}(X_{[d]}) \\ & \text{Where order } [\cdot] \text{ is such that } \widehat{R}^2_{H,X_{[1]}} > \widehat{R}^2_{H,X_{[2]}} > \cdots > \widehat{R}^2_{H,X_{[d]}} \end{aligned}$

 \Rightarrow Use for ranking of inputs

▶ Independence tests: $HSIC(X, Y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X \perp Y$ (with <u>characteristic</u> kernels!)

- Null hypothesis: $\mathcal{H}_0: X \perp Y$ against $\mathcal{H}_1: X \not\parallel Y$
- Test statistics: $n\widehat{HSIC}(X, Y)$
- Decision rule: \mathcal{H}_0 rejected iff $n\widehat{HSIC}(X,Y) > q_{1-\alpha}$ where $q_{1-\alpha}$ is the $(1-\alpha)$ quantile of $n\widehat{HSIC}(X,Y)$ under \mathcal{H}_0

 \Rightarrow Use for screening of inputs

Gretton et al. [2008] De Lozzo & Marrel [2016]



Extension to Functional data

IRESNE | DER | SESI | LEMS

Research Institute on Nuclear Systems for Low Carbone Energy Production

Output = Functional data

Output is a random function of time or space \Rightarrow which kernel to be used?

• **Y** belongs to the functional space $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{Y})$ with

$$\mathcal{Y} = \left\{ \mathbf{y} : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}, ||\mathbf{y}|| = (\langle y, y \rangle)^{1/2} = \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{y}(t)^2 \mathrm{dt} \right)^{1/2} < +\infty \right\}$$

• Assume that $Y \in \mathcal{H}$ has mean $\mu(t)$ and continuous covariance function C(t, s).

Step 1: Functional dimension reduction

El Amri & Marrel [2021]

Functional Principal Component Analysis (FPCA) and truncation with the *q* first terms:

$$Y(t) - \mu(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{q} \boldsymbol{U}_{k} \varphi_{k}(t)$$

where $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of the integral operator corresponding to C, $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ denoting a set of uncorrelated random variables with zero mean and variance $\{\theta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ (eigenvalues of operator C).



HSIC for functional output

Step 1: Functional dimension reduction (in practice)

El Amri & Marrel [2021]

C estimated by

$$\hat{C}(s,t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} Y^{(m)}(s) Y^{(m)}(t)$$

 \mathbf{n}

The eigenvalue problem solved by **replacing** C by \widehat{C} and by discretizing the trajectories at several discrete time points t_1, \dots, t_{N_T} .

 Choice of q (number of terms kept in FPCA approximation): minimal number such that the cumulated ratio of variance explained exceeds a given threshold
Control the percentage of output variance explained by the truncation

Alternative with **spline-based FPCA**: trajectories are expanded as linear combinations of spline basis functions, before applying PCA to the coefficients on the spline basis



Step 2: Build FPCA-based kernel

Weigthed sum of 1-D kernels with the q first FPCA (random) coefficients $(U_k)_{k=1,\dots,q}$

$$k_{\Sigma_{w}}(Y^{(j)}, Y^{(j')}) = \sum_{k=1}^{q} w_{k} k_{U}(U_{k}^{(j)}, U_{k}^{(j')})$$

weigths $(w_k)_{k=1,\dots,q}$ control the relative contribution of the main q components

\succ Practical choices to build k_{Σ_w}

• For weights w_k : percentage of variance explained by each component U_k

 $w_k = \frac{\theta_k}{\sum_{k=1}^q \theta_k}$ (θ_k eigenvalues from FPCA)

• For 1-D kernels k_U: usual kernel for real variables (Gaussian e.g.)

 \Rightarrow Estimators \widehat{HSIC}_{PCA} and $\widehat{R}^2_{HSIC,PCA}$ from FPCA reduction and kernel k_{Σ_w}

Other « aggregated » kernels proposed in *El Amri & Marrel [2021]*.

Note that k_{Σ_w} is not characteristic due to FPCA truncation.

Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives Document propriété du CEA – Reproduction et diffusion externes au CEA soumises à l'autorisation de l'émetteur IRESNE | DER | SESI | LEMS Institut de recherche sur les systèmes nucléaires pour la production d'éneraie bas carbone



Illustration on analytical example: arctangent-based temporal function

$$Y_{atan}(t, X_1, X_2, X_3) = \operatorname{atan}(X_1) \cos(t) + 50 \operatorname{atan}(X_2) \sin(t)$$

Where $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $X_i \sim \mathcal{U}([-7; 7]) \forall i = 1, ..., 3$

✓ Theoretical analysis » from intensive Monte-Carlo estimation (*n* = 100000)
⇒ Two first components U₁ and U₂ explain around 97% and 3% of variance, resp.
⇒ Strong influence of X₂ on U₁, far the most influential input
⇒ Much smaller influence of X₁, mainly conveyed by U₂
⇒ X₃ = dummy variable

	\widehat{R}^2_{HSIC,X_1}	\widehat{R}^2_{HSIC,X_2}
U_1 (96.96%)	$5.69 imes10^{-3}$	0.904
U_2 (3.03%)	0.903	6.32×10^{-3}
Individual \widehat{R}^2_{HSIC} on each FPCA component		



▶ Illustration on analytical example: arctangent-based temporal function Y_{atan} Computation of $\hat{R}^2_{HSIC,PCA}$ from n=100 Monte-Carlo sample

50 sample replicates and trajectories of Y_{atan} discretized on $N_T = 100$ equally-spaced points



IRESNE | DER | SESI | LEMS Institut de recherche sur les systèmes nucléaires pour la production d'énergie bas carbone Illustration on compartmental epidemiologic model on COVID-19 :

Modified SIR model (Susceptible – Infected – Recovered)

2 temporal outputs of interest

Magal & Webb [2020]

I(t): number of asymptomatic infectious individuals at time t R(t): number of reported symptomatic infectious individuals at time t

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ Model defined by system of o.d.e} \begin{cases} S'(t) = -\tau(t)S(t)(I(t) + U(t)) \\ I'(t) = \tau(t)S(t)(I(t) + U(t)) - \nu I(t) \\ R'(t) = f\nu I(t) - \eta R \\ U'(t) = (1 - f)\nu I(t) - \eta U. \end{cases}$

Depending on 6 uncertain scalar inputs, assumed independent and uniform

- Initial conditions, involved in $I(t_0)$
- Transmission rate before the epidemic outbreak
- Rate of the exponential decay of transmission as soon as social distancing and lockdown start
- Date of effect of government measures
- Average periods during which I(t) are infectious
- Average periods during which R(t) are infectious

Illustration on compartmental epidemiologic model on COVID-19 :

I(t) and *R(t)*: number of asymptomatic and reported symptomatic infectious individuals at time *t*



Initial conditions, involved in $I(t_0)$ Transmission rate before the epidemic outbreak Rate of the exponential decay of transmission as soon as social distancing and lockdown start Date of effect of government measures Average periods during which I(t) are infectious Average periods during which R(t) are infectious



ument propriété du CEA – Reproduction et diffusion externes au CEA soumises à l'autorisation de l'émetteur

Institut de recherche sur les systèmes nucléaires pour la production d'énergie bas carbor



Conclusion and prospects

IRESNE | DER | SESI | LEMS

Research Institute on Nuclear Systems for Low Carbone Energy Production

HSIC as indices of Sensitivity Analysis

- Focus the SA analysis on the difference between $P_{X,Y}$ with $P_X \otimes P_Y$
- Power of RKHS → HSIC can capture a large spectrum of relationships and characterize independence
 - → Efficient for ranking and screening of uncertain inputs, even from small samples

Extension to functional output

- Aggregated kernels based on FPCA decomposition + weighted sum of usual 1-D kernels
- Consistent results on analytical example and real applications
- Can be also applied to multivariate outputs
- Statistical test of nullity of the indices can be built (not equivalent to independence)

Prospects

- Extension with other metrics for functional data like Global Alignment Kernel
- Decomposition into main effects & interactions must be investigated

⇒ Assess the use of HSIC with ANOVA-like kernels

Keynote 4 - Sébastien DA VEIGA

- Da Veiga, Global sensitivity analysis with dependence measures, *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 85:1283-1305, 2015.
- Da Veiga., Kernel-based anova decomposition and shapley effects-application to global sensitivity analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.05487. 2021.
- De Lozzo and Marrel, New improvements in the use of dependence measures for sensitivity analysis and screening, *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 86:3038-3058, 2016
- El Amri and Marrel, More powerful HSIC-based independence tests, extension to space-filling designs and functional data, https://hal-cea.archives-ouvertes.fr/cea-03406956, 2021b.
- Gretton, Fukumizu, Teo, Le Song, Schölkopf and Smola. A kernel statistical test of independence. In Advances in neural information processing systems, 585-592, 2008.
- Gretton, Bousquet, Smola and Schölkopf. Measuring statistical dependence with Hilbert-Schmidt norms. *In: Proceedings Algorithmic Learning Theory*, 2005.
- Iooss B. and Lemaître P. A review on global sensitivity analysis methods. In Uncertainty management in Simulation-Optimization of Complex Systems: Algorithms and Applications, Springer, 2015.
- Müller, A. Integral probability metrics and their generating classes of functions, Advances in Applied Probability 29(2), 429–443, 1997.
- Sriperumbudur B.K., Gretton A., and Fukumizu K. Hilbert space embeddings and metrics on probability measures. Journal of Machine Learning Research, (11):1517-1561, 2010.
- Magal P. and Webb G. Predicting the number of reported and unreported cases for the covid-19 epidemic in south korea, italy, france and germany. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3557360 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3557360, 2020.